

Progress Report: 41	Reporting Period: April 29 – May 26, 2006		Date: June 23, 2006 (Revised June 29, 2006)
Site:	Diamond Alkali, Operable Unit 3, Passaic River Study Expansion, New Jersey		IAG: DW96941975 IAG Expiration Date: 12/31/07
Phase: RI/FS, OU3	DACW41-02-D-0003, T.O. 0011 W912DQ-06-D-0003, T.O. 0002	Malcolm Pirnie Project Numbers: 4553-001, 4553-025, 4553-027, and 4553-031	
USEPA RPMs: Alice Yeh & Tom Taccone	PH: 212-637-4427 PH: 212-637-4281	USACE PM: Elizabeth Buckrucker	PH: 816-389-3581
MPI PM: Len Warner	PH: 914-641-2972	MPI Deputy PM: Scott Thompson	PH: 914-641-2628

#### **CRITICAL ISSUE SUMMARY:**

- A schedule revision is proposed for the Interim Action Evaluation (IAE) task, as shown in the table below. Pirnie's original schedule provided ~4 months from receipt of the Low Res Coring data to submission of the pre-draft IAE report. To try to be responsive to EPA & stakeholder needs, the proposed revision includes less than 3 months of lead time. The proposed revision is due to: 1) EPA's decision that the public will receive the 3rd version of the IAE document, not the 2nd version (this accounts for about 2.5 months of the change); 2) a delay in receipt of CLP Low Res Coring lab data (accounts for 1.25 months of the change); and 3) an extended government review schedule for the pre-draft (accounts for 0.25 months of the change).

<b>Task</b>	<b>New Date</b>	<b>Old Date</b>
In-progress review	mid July	week of 6/19
Submit pre-draft IAE Report to PMs	15-Aug	14-Jul
Remedial Options Workgroup	25-Aug	16-Aug
Comments Due on pre-draft	31-Aug	21-Jul
Submit Draft to Partners	15-Sep	28-Jul
Comments Due on Draft	13-Oct	30-Aug
Submit Revised Draft to Public	30-Nov	Year End

- Malcolm Pirnie to meet with USACE regarding JTD management costs on DACW41 contract.
- Battelle awaiting authorization for refinement of sediment and water column risk assessment DQOs (not included in FSP Vol. 2 DQOs); USACE and USEPA to respond to technical support request for MPI and HQI budget to contribute to DQO refinement effort.
- Additional funding required to complete High Resolution Coring archived sample analyses and allow continuation of Jim McCann effort to coordinate sample analyses, data review, and data validation. USACE approved the submittal of the sediment samples from Core 9A on or about May 22<sup>nd</sup> with a contingent request that MPI submit a Draft WVN on contract DACW41 for government review.
- Attached data analysis/validation status table updated from prior submittal in May 2006.

<b>Task</b>	<b>Activities in Current Reporting Period</b>	<b>Next Milestone</b>	<b>Issues</b>
Community Relations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Final CIP was delivered to USEPA for a "backcheck" on May 3<sup>rd</sup>.</li> <li>Preparation of a revised Final CIP was conducted in response to USEPA comments regarding the document submitted on May 3<sup>rd</sup>.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Final CIP delivery to David Kluesner for review on June 2, 2006.</li> <li>First set of Final CIP hardcopies to be delivered to USEPA on June 9<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Additional 80 hardcopies and 144 cds to be submitted on June 13<sup>th</sup>.</li> </ul>	Final CIP budgets on Passaic River and Newark Bay expended. Requested USACE permission to bill hardcopy production to CI Support task on June 7 <sup>th</sup> .
IAE Evaluation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Developed presentation for Remedial Options Workgroup and provided "dry runs" on 5/10 and 5/17.</li> <li>Attended NJDEP coordination meeting on 5/17.</li> <li>Attended Remedial Options Workgroup meeting 5/24.</li> <li>Initiated erosion/deposition analysis to determine "always/usually" erosive areas.</li> <li>Received analytical data from low resolution coring samples for mercury.</li> <li>Performed MPA calculations using mercury data.</li> <li>Continued detailed analysis of alternatives, including cost estimation.</li> <li>Initiated development of IAE report text.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In-progress meeting with USEPA PM staff on July 13<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Presentation to USEPA RA on July 20<sup>th</sup>.</li> </ul>	A revised schedule is proposed for the IAE (refer to Scott Thompson e-mail dated May 25, 2006 and "Critical Issues" section of this report).
FSP Volume 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Draft FSP Volume 2 DQOs submitted for BTAG review on April 28<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Draft FSP Volume 2 submitted to partner agencies for review and comment on May 19<sup>th</sup>.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incorporation of partner agency comments.</li> <li>Submittal of FSP 2 in electronic and hardcopy format to stakeholders by June 19<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Sampling Workgroup meeting to be scheduled.</li> </ul>	See discussion on full DQO refinement effort under "Critical Issues" above.

<b>Task</b>	<b>Activities in Current Reporting Period</b>	<b>Next Milestone</b>	<b>Issues</b>
Sediment Transport Model	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Held conference call on May 3<sup>rd</sup> with TAC members to discuss SEDZLJ implementation in ECOM. Participants included Larry Sanford, Craig Jones, members of the TAC, as well as HydroQual's staff.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HQI to complete subcontract for Sea Eng'g (Craig Jones)</li> <li>Begin SEDZLJ implementation</li> <li>Internal mtg. planned for the June 12<sup>th</sup> with participation of Larry Sanford</li> </ul>	None.
Hydrodynamic Model	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presented hydrodynamic model calibration to TAC and Modeling Workgroup on May 22<sup>nd</sup> at USEPA Region 2.</li> <li>Conducted additional model-data comparison analyses for revision of Hydrodynamic Report</li> <li>Revised mooring plots based on new field survey details available from Rutgers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review stakeholder comments (i.e., TSI and demaximis)</li> <li>Revised Hydrodynamic Report due July 10<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Pending USEPA approval, prepare documentation for IAE flood modeling.</li> </ul>	None.
Final Modeling Plan	HydroQual revising Final Modeling Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HydroQual to resubmit Final Modeling Plan on June 1<sup>st</sup>.</li> <li>Meeting on June 20<sup>th</sup> to address USEPA comments on modeling documents.</li> </ul>	None.
Field Investigations/ Draft Round 1 Report	Refer to attached table for status of collected environmental samples, analytical results, and data validation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ship Hi Res core 9A sediment samples to Axys on June 2<sup>nd</sup>.</li> <li>Ship samples from Hi Res Cores 26A and 32A upon ACE approval (prior to July 18<sup>th</sup>).</li> <li>Draft Round 1 Report on or about November 8, 2006</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional budget needed to fund analysis of remaining 36 or so high resolution core segments and QA/QC samples.</li> <li>Schedule information needed for CLP analyses from USEPA.</li> </ul>
CSM/Problem Formulation	Awaiting remaining USEPA and BTAG comments on technical memos.	Discuss agency comments on technical memos	The project scope is designed to incorporate comment responses into the forthcoming HHRA and ERA effort. Discussions will be held with ACE/EPA to best determine how to address the completed set of comments.
WOE Assessment and Data Usability Evaluation	Activities not yet initiated.	Scope under discussion.	Battelle to revise task plan for data usability criteria in accordance with USEPA and Malcolm Pirnie comments.

<b>Task</b>	<b>Activities in Current Reporting Period</b>	<b>Next Milestone</b>	<b>Issues</b>
DQO Refinement	Work continued this period on refinement of the Passaic DQOs (only those related to FSP Volume 2), following submittal to the BTAG on April 28 <sup>th</sup> and incorporation of resulting comments.	Awaiting ACE/EPA direction. Completing the Lower Passaic River DQO refinement, including contributions by MPI and HQI (refer to technical support request W912DQ-002), would be useful in the scoping discussions for the water column sampling to be conducted by the PRPs.	At the request of the USACE, discussions were initiated on the technical/financial implications of temporarily stopping work on DQO activities not essential to FSP Vol. 2. Battelle determined that the FSP Vol. 2-related DQOs represented approximately 50% of the total effort. An additional 10% likely will need to be spent to respond to BTAG input. Should work stop on this task, the negotiated effort would need to increase by 5-10% to finish the task.
Meetings & Teleconferences	May 3 <sup>rd</sup> – TAC teleconference on modeling May 8 <sup>th</sup> – Biweekly call May 15 <sup>th</sup> – Progress call on sample analysis and validation with USACE and USEPA May 17 <sup>th</sup> – Dry Run for Remedial Options Workgroup May 22 <sup>nd</sup> – Model Workgroup Meeting May 23 <sup>rd</sup> – Biweekly call May 24 <sup>th</sup> – Remedial Options Workgroup	June 6 – Biweekly call June 19 – Biweekly call Sampling Workgroup – TBA USEPA IAE Meeting – July 13 USEPA In-progress Meeting – July 20	Not applicable.
PREmis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MS Excel tracking spreadsheet created in PREmis for contract W912DQ.</li> <li>Coordination between IT staff and data validators to facilitate upload, validation, and approval of field data.</li> <li>Hydrodynamic Model Report directory reformatted as requested by USACE.</li> </ul>	Continue upload, validation, and approval of data from 2005-06 field investigations.	None.
www.ourPassaic.org	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Posted Gust Microcosm report on May 1st.</li> <li>Upload presentation from Remedial Options Workgroup on May 26<sup>th</sup>.</li> </ul>	Post additional public documents, such as sediment transport experiment reports and modeling plans, as directed.	None.



**BUDGET STATUS AND FORECAST**  
**DACW41 TASK ORDER 0011**  
**LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT**  
**Reporting Period 04/29/2006 through 05/26/2006**

[illegible]

Blue font represents tasks that are completed.

\* The fee claimed does not incorporate subconsultant charges that have not yet been invoiced to the USACE.

<sup>1</sup>: For the purposes of this report, all WAD 3 expenses were added into this task.

<sup>2</sup>: The estimate to complete for fee will always be greater than or equal to the actual fee to complete since this column assumes a fee percentage of 7%. However, if subconsultant costs are included in the labor and expenses estimate to complete, the fee on subs is 4.61%.

<sup>3</sup>: The additional funding columns represent monies that are needed for the next 3 months after the required date.



**BUDGET STATUS AND FORECAST**  
**W912DQ TASK ORDER 0002**  
**LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT**  
**Reporting Period 04/29/2006 through 05/26/2006**

Task Description	Negotiated Budget	Authorized Budget (as of ATP 1, dated 03/31/2006)		Costs from 4/01/06 through 04/28/06	Costs from 04/29/06 through 05/26/06	Costs from 05/27/06 through 06/30/06	Costs from 07/01/06 through 07/28/06	Costs from 07/29/06 through 08/25/06	Costs from 08/26/06 through 09/29/06	Costs from 09/30/06 through 10/27/06	Costs from 10/28/06 through 11/24/06	Costs from 11/25/06 through 12/29/06	Sub Costs that have been Invoiced	JTD Costs through 04/28/06	JTD Percent of Authorized Budget Spent	JTD Estimated Task Percent Complete	Estimate to Complete <sup>2</sup>	Estimated Cost at Completion	3-Month Forecast					Percent of Authorized Budget Forecast to be Spent by August 2006	4 - 6 Month Forecast (September through November 2006)	Authorized Funding Less Forecast Amount at September 2006	Additional Funding Required by September 2006	Comments
		Percent	Dollars																Jun-06	Jul-06	Aug-06	Total Estimated Cost from July thru Aug 2006	Total Estimated + Total Spent					
WAD 01 - Project Management and Community Relations																												
WO 01 - Project Management and Administration																												
1.1 Project Management	\$215,104	33%	\$71,634	\$12,665	\$12,549									\$25,214	35%	50%	\$46,420	\$71,634	\$17,925	\$17,925	\$17,925	\$53,775	\$78,989	110%	\$53,775	-\$7,355	\$61,130	
1.2 Project Support Documentation and Administration	\$77,902	36%	\$8,745	\$28,089	\$4,768	\$3,977								\$19,344	31%	50%	\$19,344	\$28,089	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$19,500	\$28,245	101%	\$19,500	-\$156	\$19,656	
1.3 Subcontract Administration	\$38,111	35%	\$13,161	\$3,537	\$6,578									\$10,116	77%	77%	\$3,045	\$13,161	\$3,175	\$3,175	\$3,175	\$9,525	\$19,641	149%	\$9,525	-\$6,480	\$16,005	Effort front-loaded due to new subcontract prep/negotiation.
1.4 Project Communications	\$283,603	36%	\$102,825	\$13,053	\$5,407									\$84,365	18%		\$23,600	\$102,825	\$23,600	\$23,600	\$23,600	\$70,800	\$89,260	87%	\$70,800	\$13,565	\$57,235	
WO 01 Subtotal	\$614,720	35%	\$215,709	\$34,023	\$28,512	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$62,535	29%	#VALUE!	\$153,174	\$215,709	\$51,200	\$51,200	\$51,200	\$153,600	\$216,135	100%	\$153,600	-\$426	\$154,026	
WO 02 - Community Relations																												
2.1a Public Meeting Support (graphics/attendance)	\$2,806	0%	\$0											\$0	0%		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	On hold awaiting USACE/USEPA direction.
2.1b Fact Sheets (topic-specific)	\$26,702	0%	\$0											\$0	0%		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	On hold awaiting USACE/USEPA direction.
2.1c Communications Support	\$13,761	25%	\$3,440											\$0	0%		\$3,440	\$3,440	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$1,500	44%	\$0	\$1,940	\$0	Final CIP hardcopy and cd production effort.
WO 02 Subtotal	\$43,269	8%	\$3,440	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	0%	\$3,440	\$3,440	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$1,500	44%	\$0	\$1,940	\$0	
WO 03 - Technical Advisory Committee and Quality Control																												
3.1 Technical Advisory Committee and Quality Control	\$136,833	27%	\$36,308		\$1,776	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,776	5%	5%	\$34,532	\$36,308	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,776	5%	\$0	\$34,532	\$0	
WO 03 Subtotal	\$136,833	27%	\$36,308	\$0	\$1,776	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,776	5%	5%	\$34,532	\$36,308	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,776	5%	\$0	\$34,532	\$0	
WO 04 - Technical Support																												
4.1 Technical Support	\$94,578	15%	\$14,187	\$0	\$3,172	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,172	22%	22%	\$11,015	\$14,187	\$3,172	\$26,800	\$0	\$29,972	\$33,144	234%	\$0	-\$18,957	\$18,957	Potential TS approval for HQI and MPI DQO refinement (no work will be initiated without USACE approval).
WO 04 Subtotal	\$94,578	15%	\$14,187	\$0	\$3,172	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,172	22%	22%	\$11,015	\$14,187	\$3,172	\$26,800	\$0	\$29,972	\$33,144	234%	\$0	-\$18,957	\$18,957	
WAD 1 - Project Administration Total	\$889,400	30%	\$269,644	\$34,023	\$33,460	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$67,483	25%	#VALUE!	\$202,161	\$269,644	\$55,872	\$78,000	\$51,200	\$185,072	\$252,555	94%	\$153,600	\$17,089	\$172,983	
WAD 2 - Technical Studies & Investigations																												
WO 01 - Project Websites																												
1.1 Project Team Website (PREmis)	\$50,563	25%	\$12,641		\$2,982									\$2,982	24%	24%	\$9,659	\$12,641	\$4,200	\$4,200	\$4,200	\$12,600	\$15,582	123%	\$12,600	-\$2,941	\$15,541	
1.2 Public Website (www.ourPassaic.org)	\$28,734	25%	\$7,184	\$295										\$295	4%	4%	\$6,889	\$7,184	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$7,200	\$7,495	104%	\$7,200	-\$311	\$7,511	
WO 01 Subtotal	\$79,297	25%	\$19,825	\$0	\$3,277	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,277	17%	17%	\$16,548	\$19,825	\$6,600	\$6,600	\$6,600	\$19,800	\$23,077	116%	\$19,800	-\$3,252	\$23,052	
WO 02 - Work Plan Implementation for 2004 - 2005 Sampling Event																												
2.1 DQO Refinement	\$96,035	100%	\$96,035	\$27,586	\$4,025									\$31,611	33%	32%	\$64,424	\$96,035	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31,611	33%	\$0	\$64,424	\$0	Completion of DQO effort on hold pending authorization.
2.2 Data Usability Evaluation	\$43,380	20%	\$8,676	\$776										\$776	9%	9%	\$7,900	\$8,676	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$776	9%	\$0	\$7,900	\$0	On hold; task plan comments submitted to Battelle.
2.3 Human Health Risk Assessment	\$224,648	0%	\$0											\$0	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
2.4 Ecological Risk Assessment	\$408,364	0%	\$0											\$0	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
WO 02 Subtotal	\$772,427	14%	\$104,711	\$27,586	\$4,801	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,387	31%	30%	\$72,324	\$104,711	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,387	31%	\$0	\$72,324	\$0	
WO 03 - Interim Action Evaluation (IAE)																												
3.1 Identification of Candidate IAE Target Areas	\$94,559	50%	\$47,280		\$269									\$269	1%	1%	\$47,011	\$47,280	\$30,000	\$20,000	\$10,000	\$60,000	\$60,269	127%	\$0	-\$12,989	\$12,989	E-mail from S. Thompson to E. Backrucker, USACE dated May 30, 2006 noted need for additional funding of approx.
3.2 Identification and Screening of Alternatives	\$51,251	18%	\$9,225		\$873									\$8,352	9%	9%	\$9,225	\$15,000	\$10,000	\$0	\$25,000	\$25,873	\$28,000	116%	\$0	-\$16,648	\$16,648	\$100K on IAE due to increased coordination with NJDEP &
3.3 Detailed Analysis and Selection of Recommended Alternative	\$194,927	45%	\$87,717	\$21,242	\$35,565									\$56,807	65%	63%	\$30,910	\$87,717	\$20,000	\$15,000	\$10,000	\$45,000	\$101,807	116%	\$0	-\$14,090	\$14,090	In-situ Workgroup, larger dredging alternative, prep of
3.4 Development of IAE Report - Pre-Draft and Draft	\$100,416	55%	\$55,229		\$10,780									\$10,780	20%	20%	\$44,449	\$55,229	\$30,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$70,000	\$80,780	146%	\$0	-\$25,551	\$25,551	documentation for flood modeling, porewater issues, eval. of
3.5 Development of IAE Report - Revised Draft and Final	\$115,480	0%	\$0											\$0	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$45,000	\$0	\$45,000	
3.6 TAC Consultation	\$17,400	100%	\$17,400		\$3,336									\$3,336	19%	19%	\$14,064	\$17,400	\$5,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$10,000	\$13,336	77%	\$2,500	\$4,064	\$0	navigationally-constrained capping, and delayed access to
3.7 Meetings	\$26,213	100%	\$26,213		\$11,494									\$11,494	44%	44%	\$14,719	\$26,213	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$10,000	\$40,000	\$51,494	196%	\$10,000	-\$25,281	\$35,281	laboratory analytical data.
WO 03 Subtotal	\$600,246	40%	\$243,064	\$21,242	\$62,317	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$83,559	34%	33%	\$159,505	\$243,064	\$110,000	\$87,500	\$52,500	\$250,000	\$333,559	137%	\$57,500	-\$90,495	\$149,550	
WO 04 - Draft Field Sampling Plan Volume 2																												
4.1a Draft FSP Volume 2 - Biota	\$19,980	100%	\$19,980		\$18,999									\$18,999	95%	100%	\$981	\$19,980	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,999	95%	\$0	\$981	\$0	
4.1b Final FSP Volume 2	\$26,141	0%	\$0											\$0	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
4.1c QAPP Updates	\$33,707	0%	\$0											\$0	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
WO 04 Subtotal	\$79,828	0%	\$19,980	\$0	\$18,999	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,999	95%	100%	\$981	\$19,980	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,999	95%	\$0	\$981	\$0	
WAD 2 - Technical Studies & Investigation Total	\$1,531,798	25%	\$387,580	\$48,828	\$89,394	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$138,222	36%	35%	\$249,358	\$387,580	\$116,600	\$94,100	\$59,100	\$269,800	\$408,022	105%	\$77,300	-\$20,442	\$172,611	
WAD 3 - Model Development, Calibration, and Application																												
WO 01 - Hydrodynamic Model																												
1.1 Development and Calibration	\$161,135	35%	\$56,397	\$0	\$2,546	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,546	5%	5%	\$53,851	\$56,397	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$45,000	\$47,546	84%	\$30,000	\$8,851	\$21,149	
WO 01 Subtotal	\$161,135	35%	\$56,397	\$0	\$2,546	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,546	5%	5%	\$53,851	\$56,397	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$45,000	\$47,546	84%	\$30,000	\$8,851	\$21,149	
WO 02 - Sediment Transport Model																												
2.1 Development and Calibration	\$551,192	25%	\$137,798	\$309	\$309									\$618	0%		\$137,180	\$137,798	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$90,000	\$90,618	66%	\$120,000	\$47,180	\$72,820	
WO 02 Subtotal	\$551,192	25%	\$137,798	\$309	\$309	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$618	0%	0%	\$137,180	\$137,798	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$90,000	\$90,618	66%	\$120,000	\$47,180	\$72,820	
WO 03 - Fate & Transport Model																												
3.1 Development and Calibration	\$116,928	0%	\$0											\$0	0%		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
WO 03 Subtotal	\$116,928	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
WO 04 - Food Chain Model																												
4.1 Development and Calibration	\$42,963	0%	\$0											\$0	0%		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
WO 04 Subtotal	\$42,963	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	#DIV/0!	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
WAD 3 - Model Development, Calibration and Application Total	\$872,218	22%	\$194,195	\$309	\$2,855	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,164	2%	#DIV/0!	\$191,031	\$194,195	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$135,						

\* The fee claimed does not incorporate subconsultant charges that have not yet been invoiced to the USACE.

**LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT  
LABORATORY DATA STATUS TABLE  
FALL 2005 and JAN 2006 FIELD PROGRAMS**

[illegible]

LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT  
LABORATORY DATA STATUS TABLE  
FALL 2005 and JAN 2006 FIELD PROGRAMS

Program/Analysis	Laboratory	Samples Submitted	Unit Price	JTD Cost	Archived in Freezer	Being Processed by Laboratory	Un-validated Data Partially Received	All Un-validated Data Received	Paper copy & e-copy of Un-Validated Data	Paper copy and e-copy of Validated Data	Validated Data Partially Received	All Validated Data Received in PREMIS	Notes
Geotechnical - Moisture	STL - VT	64	\$10	\$640				✕				NA	No validation planned.
Geotechnical - Grain Size	STL - VT	61	\$100	\$6,100				✕				NA	No validation planned.
Geotechnical - Specific Gravity	STL - VT	61	\$35	\$2,135								NA	No validation planned.
Geotechnical - pH	STL - VT	61	\$10	\$610								✕	
Total Low Res JTD Cost				\$158,675									
Total Low Res Costs Pending				\$6,405									
Water Column Large Volume <sup>(a,b)</sup>													
Pesticides	Axys	5	\$300	\$1,500					✕			30-Jul	Data not entered into PREmis with field application. Need to do preliminary data evaluation before validation
PCB Congeners	Axys	5	\$900	\$4,500					✕			30-Jul	
Dioxin/Furans	Axys	5	\$650	\$3,250					✕			30-Jul	
20L Bottle Processing Fee & Filters	Axys	2	\$550	\$1,100							NA		Sample processing costs -- no associated data.
Total Large Vol JTD Cost				\$10,350									
Water Column Small Volume <sup>(a,b)</sup>													
Mercury Total	Brooks Rand	30	\$219	\$6,570					✕			30-Jul	EDDs submitted by Brooks Rand but found to be incomplete and in error. Working with Jim Madison at STL, who subcontracted Brooks Rand and IT to resolve problems
Mercury Filter	Brooks Rand	30		See above					✕			30-Jul	
Methyl mercury Total	Brooks Rand	30	\$404	\$12,120					✕			30-Jul	
Methyl mercury Filter	Brooks Rand	30		See above					✕			30-Jul	
Particulate Organic Carbon (POC)	STL - VT	26	\$80	\$2,080					✕			30-Jul	
Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)	STL - VT	26	\$50	\$1,300					✕			30-Jul	
Metals Total	CLP Sentinel	31	NA	NA							✕	30-Jul	Metals re-analyses data received. MEDD format issues being resolved by IT, who will upload validated data
Metals Filter	CLP Sentinel	31	NA	NA							✕	30-Jul	
Cyanide	CLP Sentinel	14	NA	NA							✕	30-Jul	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	STL - VT	89	\$20	\$1,780					✕			30-Jul	
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)	STL - VT	13	\$25	\$325					✕			30-Jul	
COD/TKN/Total P	STL - VT	14	\$100	\$1,400					✕			30-Jul	
Chlorophyll A	Westfield	14	\$50	\$700					✕			30-Jul	
Ammonia	STL - VT	13	\$20	\$260					✕			30-Jul	
VOC	CLP A4	23	NA	NA							✕	30-Jul	Data needs review. MEDD format issues being resolved by IT, who will upload validated data
SVOC	CLP A4	18	NA	NA							✕	30-Jul	
Chlorinated Herbicides	STL - VT	18	\$145	\$2,610					✕			30-Jul	
Ortho-Phosphate	STL - VT	14	\$50	\$700					✕			30-Jul	
Total JTD Small Volume				\$29,845									
Water Column High Flow Event													
Volatile Suspended Solids	DESA	135	NA	NA								✕	Problems with EDD received from DESA lab were resolved and the data was successfully uploaded to PREmis on 6/21/06
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	DESA	135	NA	NA								✕	
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	DESA	29	NA	NA								✕	
Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)	DESA	29	NA	NA								✕	
SPMD - Deployment 1													
Dioxin/Furan	Axys	12	\$600	\$7,200				✕				30-Jul	The concentrations of the analytes in extracts has been reported by Axys. This data needs to be evaluated and the analyte concentrations in the water column calculated based upon deployment times and the theoretical adsorption rates of analytes into the SPMDs. If the data is found to be acceptable/usable it can be validated and approved.
PCB Congener	Axys	12	\$850	\$10,200				✕				30-Jul	
Pesticides	Axys	12	\$270	\$3,240				✕				30-Jul	
PAH	Axys	12	\$270	\$3,240				✕				30-Jul	
Total JTD SPMD No. 1				\$23,880									
SPMD - Deployment 2													
Dioxin/Furan	Axys	15	\$600	\$9,000				✕				30-Jul	The concentrations of the analytes in extracts has been reported by Axys. This data needs to be evaluated and the analyte concentrations in the water column calculated based upon deployment times and the theoretical adsorption rates of analytes into the SPMDs. If the data is found to be acceptable/usable it can be validated and approved.
PCB Congener	Axys	15	\$850	\$12,750				✕				30-Jul	
Pesticides	Axys	15	\$270	\$4,050				✕				30-Jul	
PAH	Axys	15	\$270	\$4,050				✕				30-Jul	
Total JTD SPMD No. 2				\$29,850									
Extraction Costs for SPMD Nos. 1 and 2				\$25,000									Estimated vendor purchase and extraction cost for SPMDs.
Moorings													
Hydrodynamics Data												✕	Verifying data status; all data will be confirmed on PREmis by 6/23/2006.
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROGRAM COST (JTD+Pending Costs)				\$723,765									

a- Sample count include QA/QC

b- PREMIS sample ID issues on small volume and large volume water column programs to be resolved.

c - Projected dates assumes that sufficient budget will be identified to complete data validation/evaluation tasks.